REQUEST FOR LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Completed by County Counselor's Office: Res/Ord No.: 18827

Sponsor(s): Alfred Jordan
Date: May 4, 2015

SUBJECT	Action Requested Resolution Ordinance Droject/Title: Awarding a Contract for Cont Allocation Resolution		
	Project/Title: <u>Awarding a Contract for Cost Allocation P</u> <u>Support Division of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office to conditions of Request for Proposal No. 23-15.</u>	Maximus of Springfield,	E Proposal for the Family Illinois under the terms and
BUDGET			
INFORMATION To be completed	Amount authorized by this legislation this fiscal year:		\$17,030.00
To be completed By Requesting	Amount previously authorized this fiscal year:		
Department and	Total amount authorized after this legislative action: Amount budgeted for this item * (including transfers):		\$17,030.00
Finance	Source of funding (name of fund) and account code num	nher: 001-5101-56010	\$17,030.00
	General Fund, Non-Departmental, Auditing and Account	nting Services	\$17,030.00
	* If account includes additional funds for other expenses, total budgeted	d in the account is: \$	
	OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION:		
	OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION:		
	No budget impact (no fiscal note required)		
	Term and Supply Contract (funds approved in the an	nual budget); estimated v	alue and use of contract:
	Department: Estimated Use: \$		
	Prior Year Budget (if applicable):		
	Prior Year Actual Amount Spent (if applicable):		
22/02			
PRIOR LEGISLATION	Prior ordinances and (date):		
CONTACT	Prior resolutions and (date):		
INFORMATION	RLA drafted by (name, title, & phone): Barbara Casamento, Purchasing Supervisor, 881-3253		
REQUEST	, (mass, as promo). But our culcult	mo, r aremaning superviso	31, 001 3233
SUMMARY	The Family Support Division of the Prosecuting Attorney	's Office requires a Cost	Allocation Plan to seek
	reimbursement from Federal and State Funds under Title	IVD Child Support Enfo	rcement Program. The
	Purchasing Department issued Request for Proposal No.	23-15 in response to those	e requirements.
	A total of three notifications were distributed and two res	nonses were received and	evaluated as follows:
		ponded were received une	cvaraated as follows.
	Respondent	Amount	Points Awarded
	Maximus, Springfield, IL	\$17,030.00	96
	MGT of America, Tallahassee, FL	\$18,460.00	56
	Points awarded were based on the Respondent's Qualifications and Experience, References, Proposed Work Plan and Pricing.		
	Pursuant to Section 1054.6 of the Jackson County Code,	the Director of Finance at	nd Purchasing recommends the
	award of a Contract for a Cost Allocation Plan and Indire	ct Cost Rate Proposal for	the Family Support Division
CLEAD ANGE	of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office to Maximus of Sprin	ngfield, IL as the lowest a	and best proposal received.
CLEARANCE	Tax Clearance Completed (Purchasing & Department		
	☐ Business License Verified (Purchasing & Departmen ☐ Chapter 6 Compliance - Affirmative Action/Prevailing	t) N/A	o Office)
ATTACHMENTS	The Abstract of Proposals Received, a Memorandum from	n Melissa Mauer-Smith	of the Family Support Division
	and the pertinent pages of Maximus's proposal.	ii ivionissa iviauot-siiittii (r are raining Support Division

REVIEW	Department Director: Melissa Mayer Smill	Date: 4-24-15
	Finance (Budget Approval): If applicable Mahu Rosmusses	Date: 4/27/15
	Division Manager:	Date: 4/28/15
e	County Counselor's Office:	Date:

Fiscal Information (to be verified by Budget Office in Finance Department)

X	This expenditure was included in the	ne annual budget.	
	Funds for this were encumbered from	om the	_ Fund in
×	is chargeable and there is a cash ba	umbered to the credit of the appropriation lance otherwise unencumbered in the cent to provide for the obligation herein	treasury to the credit of the fund from which
	Funds sufficient for this expenditur	e will be/were appropriated by Ordina	ince #
	Funds sufficient for this appropriati	ion are available from the source indic	ated below.
	Account Number:	Account Title:	Amount Not to Exceed:
			.)
	This award is made on a need basis funds for specific purchases will, of	and does not obligate Jackson County f necessity, be determined as each usin	y to pay any specific amount. The availability of ng agency places its order.
	This legislative action does not imp	eact the County financially and does no	ot require Finance/Budget approval.

Fiscal Note:

This expenditure was included in the Annual Budget.

PC#	51012015039

Date:	April 27, 2015		RES# 18827
Departmen	nt / Division	Character/Description	Not to Exceed
General Fund - 0	01		
5101 - Non Depar	tmental	56010 - Auditing & Accounting Svcs	17,030
		2	
			-
			17,030

Mary Romusen



Memorandum

To: Barbara Casamento, Purchasing

From: Melissa Mauer-Smith, Family Support

Re: Review of Bids for Jackson County Request for Proposal #23-15 CAP

Date: April 23, 2015

After thoroughly reviewing Chapter 10 of the County Code, and all material submitted the Committee met and agreed on the following scores for each of the vendors:

Vendor	MAXIMUS	MGT of America
Responsiveness to RFP	5	5
Qualifications and Experience	21	11
References	15	10
Proposed Work Plan	15	10
Price	40	20
Total	96	56

It is the Recommendation of the Committee that the bid be awarded to MAXIMUS Consulting Services, Inc.

Pricing

MAXIMUS, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to submit this price proposal to assist Jackson County with the preparation of a countywide cost allocation plan as described in the Scope of Services section of this proposal.

This pricing is based on our understanding of your desired scope of work and the work plan which we have prepared in response. We would welcome the opportunity to meet with you to discuss both the project scope and budget to make certain that we have properly aligned your work expectations and the budget we have prepared. Throughout our technical proposal, we have established various expectations regarding specific tasks. The proposed budget is based on the assumption of those expectations; should it be necessary to adjust those, then we would need to discuss comparable budget adjustments with you.

Our practice is generally to use fixed fee agreements when the scope of work is predictable. The effort required to complete the project as described in the Scope of Services section is fairly predictable, based on our experience with Jackson County and similar Missouri County clients. We are proposing a fixed, all-inclusive fee for these services. The fee will include all professional staff effort, and is inclusive of travel cost, the cost of clerical effort, and all other miscellaneous project expenses.

We will invoice the County the full amount upon completion of the cost allocation plan, indirect cost rates and submission of the material to the State of Missouri, Office of Child Support Enforcement.

Proposed Fee

Initial Year Pricing - Work completed in 2015

For completion of the Cost Allocation Plan and Indirect Rates based on County fiscal year 2014 actual costs. We propose a fixed, all-inclusive fee of \$17,030 for completion of the tasks outlined in the Proposed Approach and Work plan.

Optional Year Pricing – Work completed in 2017 and 2019

- For completion of the Cost Allocation Plan and Indirect Rates based on County fiscal year 2016 actual costs \$17,371
- For completion of the Cost Allocation Plan and Indirect Rates based on County fiscal year 2018 actual costs - \$17,718

			Res. 1882
AMOUNT			
AMOUNT			
AMOUNT			
Inc.	See bid		
America, Inc.	Seebid		
VNIT QTY			5
DATE: 4/14/2015 COMMODITY: Cost Allocation Plan and Indirect Cost Rate DESCRIPTION	See Proposal CERTIFICATION OF BID OPENING BIDS WERE PUBLICLY	ON: HOUR 14, 2015 BY CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE	Partieral Jasamo
0 0 0	Ŏ		

ABSTRACT OF ___