REQUEST FOR LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Completed by County Counselor's Office: Res/Dack No.: 18532

Sponsor(s): Date:

Dan Tarwater May 19, 2014

SUBJECT	Action Requested Resolution Ordinance	
	Project/Title: Awarding a Contract for the furnishing of Evaluation Services for the Resources Development Institute of Kansas City, MO at a cost not to exceed \$57,0 conditions of Request for Qualifications No. 20-14.	ne COMBAT Commission to 625.00 under the terms and
BUDGET		
INFORMATION	Amount authorized by this legislation this fiscal year:	\$57,625.00
To be completed	Amount previously authorized this fiscal year:	
By Requesting	Total amount authorized after this legislative action:	\$57,625.00
Department and	Amount budgeted for this item * (including transfers):	\$57,625.00
Finance	Source of funding (name of fund) and account code number: 008-4401-56080	\$57,625.00
	Anti-Drug Sales Tax Fund, Combat Commission, Other Professional Services	\$37,023.00
	* If account includes additional funds for other expenses, total budgeted in the account is: \$	
	OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION:	
	☐ No budget impact (no fiscal note required)	
	Term and Supply Contract (funds approved in the annual budget); estimated v	alva and was of santus et.
	Department: Estimated Use: \$	afue and use of contract:
	Estimated Ose. \$	
	Prior Year Budget (if applicable):	
	Prior Year Actual Amount Spent (if applicable):	
PRIOR	Prior ordinances and (date):	
LEGISLATION	Prior resolutions and (date):	
CONTACT	1 Hor resolutions and (date).	
INFORMATION	PLA drafted by (name title & phone); Borbore Coccessorte Division Communication	001 2252
REQUEST	RLA drafted by (name, title, & phone): Barbara Casamento, Purchasing Supervise	01, 881-3253
SUMMARY	The COMBAT Commission requires a professional services contract for Evaluation received in response to the COMBAT Anti-Violence Special Initiative. The Purch Request for Qualifications No. 20-14 in response to those requirements.	nasing Department issued
	A total of thirty-two notifications were distributed and two responses were receive	d and evaluated as follows:
	Points Award	led
	RDI – Resource Development Institute Kansas City, MO 91.67	
	The Sanctuary Workshop 81.33	
	Kansas City, MO	
	Evaluation points were based on the following criteria: Responsiveness to Scope e Experience, Approach/Plan for Evaluation Services and References.	of Services, Qualifications and
	Pursuant to 1054.6 of the Jackson County Code, the Director of Finance and Purch	asing recommends the award
	of Evaluation Services to RDI - Resource Development Institute of Kansas City, M	Missouri as the best proposal
CLEARANCE	received. Pricing has been negotiated with RDI and is attached.	
CLEAKANCE	Tay Clearance Completed (Durchasing & Dans toward)	
	Tax Clearance Completed (Purchasing & Department)	
	Business License Verified (Purchasing & Department) N/A Chapter 6 Compliance - Affirmative Action/Prevailing Wage (County Auditor	'a Office)
	TALL SUGUES O CAUTIONALIC . A LITTUALIVE ACTION/PREVAILING WAGE IT ORDER A DAILOR	P I IIIIOAI

ATTACHMENTS	The Abstract of Bids Received, a Memorandum from Stacey Daniels-Young and the pe	ertinent pages of RDI's
	proposal pricing.	
REVIEW	Department Director: ()//	Date:
	Hacey Springer	5-19-2014
-	Finance (Budget Approval):	Date:
	If applicable & libarah & Ball	5-19-14
	Division Manager:	Date: 19.14
	County Counselor's Office:	Date:

Fiscal Information (to be verified by Budget Office in Finance Department)

X	This expenditure was included in	the annual budget.	
	Funds for this were encumbered to	from the	Fund in
À	is chargeable and there is a cash b	ncumbered to the credit of the approper palance otherwise unencumbered in the cient to provide for the obligation her	he treasury to the credit of the fund from which
	Funds sufficient for this expendit	ure will be/were appropriated by Ord	linance #
	Funds sufficient for this appropria	ation are available from the source in	dicated below.
	Account Number:	Account Title:	Amount Not to Exceed:
		is and does not obligate Jackson Cou of necessity, be determined as each t	anty to pay any specific amount. The availability of using agency places its order.
	This legislative action does not in	npact the County financially and does	s not require Finance/Budget approval.

Fiscal Note:

This expenditure was included in the Annual Budget.

PC#	44012014003

Date: May 19, 2014		<u>c@BD</u> #RES# 18532
Department / Division	Character/Description	Not to Exceed
008 - Anti-Drug Sales Tax		ş
4401 - COMBAT Administration	56080 - Other Professional Services	57,625
	2	
		
	÷	
Total		57,625

Aleberan & Ball 5-19-14

_	
4	L
_	ַ
7	
日でくり	֖֝֟֝֝֟֝֝֟֝֝ ֚
۵	_
۵	_
۵	
Δ	

Total Base Bid	UNIT QTY	Program AMOUNT	Resource Development Institute AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
CERTIFICATION OF BID OPENING BIDS WERE PUBLICLY OPENED AND RECORDED ON: MAL 25, 2014BY						
CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE AND CURLOSING						



Stacey Daniels-Young, Ph.D. Director (816) 881-3510

Vincent M. Ortega Deputy Director (816) 881-3886

Drug Commissioners:

Gloria Fisher Venessa Maxwell-Lopez Gene Morgan Keith Querry Anita Russell Joseph Spalitto, DDS James Witteman, Jr. Marva Marguerite Moses

Fax: (816) 881-1416 Memo to: Barb Casamento, Purchasing

From:

Stacey Daniels-Young, COMBAT

Date:

May 15, 2014

Re:

COMBAT Response to Jackson County Request for Qualifications 20-14

COMBAT has reviewed the proposals in response to RFQ 20-14 for evaluation services for the Anti-Violence Special Initiative (AVSI) and interviewed respondents. During interviews it became apparent that Resource Development Institute (RDI) and Sanctuary both have unique areas of expertise, both of which are needed in the evaluation. However, the analysis of independent scores of each reviewer shows that RDI's average score was 91.67, compared to an average of 81.39 for Sanctuary.

Therefore, we recommend that the Jackson County Legislature <u>award the Evaluation Contract to Resource Development Institute (RDI) with these specific tasks:</u>

- 1. Outcomes of the projects funded through the AVSI--to what extent to individual projects contribute to reducing violence?
- 2. To what extent did the overall AVSI contribute to reducing violence?
- 3. Implementation evaluation (including work of COMBAT's AVSI workgroup):
 - a. How closely did implementation match the originally proposed plans?
 - b. What types of changes were made to the originally proposed plans?
 - c. What types of services were provided and what gaps remain in service delivery?
 - d. What effect did the changes have on the planned intervention and performance assessment?
 - e. Demographic profile of the participants who were served; who (what type program staff) provided what services (modality, type, intensity, duration), to whom (individual characteristics), in what context (system, community)?
- 4. Review the logic models of each AVSI project and of the overall project to find policy development opportunities that may have long-term implications for viable violence-reduction set of objectives.
- 5. Content Analysis of all monthly progress reports for Policy Development and Collaboration implications.
- 6. Interview of Partners for policy development and collaborative opportunities to compare with the items (1) and (2) above; score and analyze for use by program planners.

For these services we will pay the total amount of \$57,625.00.

We expect a final report by February 1, 2015. Interim reporting dates include:

Preliminary Report: August 1

Report on Collaboration, Possible Policy Development, and Preliminary Outcomes:

November 3

Evaluation Items:	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Avg Score
Resource Development Institute (RDI)	98	87	90	91.67
Sanctuary Workshop	81	81	82	81.33

Barbara J. Casamento

From: Stacey Daniels-Young

Sent: Friday, May 16, 2014 12:55 PM

To: Barbara J. Casamento
Subject: FW: AVSI Evaluation

Importance: High

Here you go.

From: Donna Bushur [mailto:BushurD@rdikc.org]

Sent: Friday, May 16, 2014 12:52 PM

To: Stacey Daniels-Young

Cc: Peggy Davis

Subject: RE: AVSI Evaluation

Importance: High

Stacy,

RDI agrees with the following Evaluation Plan for COMBAT's Anti Violence Special Initiative, for the price of \$57,625.

Evaluation tasks to be presented to COMBAT through contract with Resource Development Institute (RDI):

- 1. Outcomes of the projects funded through the AVSI--to what extent to individual projects contribute to reducing violence?
- 2. To what extent did the overall AVSI contribute to reducing violence?
- 3. Demographic profile of the participants who were served; who (what type program staff) provided what services (modality, type, intensity, duration), to whom (individual characteristics), in what context (system, community)?
- 4. Implementation evaluation (including work of COMBAT's AVSI workgroup):
 - a. How closely did implementation match the originally proposed plans?
 - b. What types of changes were made to the originally proposed plans?
 - c. What types of services were provided and what gaps remain in service delivery?
 - d. What effect did the changes have on the planned intervention and performance assessment?
- 5. Review the logic models of each AVSI project and of the overall project to find policy development opportunities that may have long-term implications for viable violence-reduction set of objectives.
- 6. Content Analysis of all monthly progress reports for Policy Development and Collaboration implications.
- 7. Interview of Partners for policy development and collaborative opportunities to compare with the items (1) and (2) above; score and analyze for use by program planners.

RDI looks forward to working with COMBAT and the AVSI stakeholders on this important initiative in our community. Thank you for the opportunity!

Sincerely,

Donna M. Bushur, MSW

Executive Director Resource Development Institute 3801 Southwest Trafficway Kansas City, MO 64111-2902 (816) 221-5000 X 3108 (816) 221 - 3497 (fax) bushurd@rdikc.org